

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/986,503	11/09/2001	Tony Peled	01/22529	5534	
30623	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			WITZ, JEAN C		
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1651		
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
Office Action Summary		Appl	ication Ño.	Applicant(s)				
		09/9	86,503	PELED ET AL.	PELED ET AL.			
		Exan	niner	Art Unit				
			C. Witz	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone is period for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum are to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nmunication. (30) days, a reply within th statutory period will apply bly will, by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a ne statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MO ne application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comn BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	nunication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>29 October</u>	<u>2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	ction is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* 5 13)⊠ / s 3 a 14)⊠ /	Acknowledgment is made of a clai All b) Some * c) None of 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first see	ty documents have ty documents have s of the priority doc tional Bureau (PCT tion for a list of the for domestic priorited in the first sente anguage provisional for domestic priority	been received. been received in a cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no ity under 35 U.S.C ence of the specifical application has the ity under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National State received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional apparation or in an Application Decemore received. It is \$ \$ 120 and/or 121 since a second control or in the second control of the second con	pplication) ata Sheet. specific			
Attachmen			,, [] .					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	(PTO-948) Paper No(s)	_	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/986,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-9 in Paper filed Oct. 3, 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Applicants are requested to cancel the non-elected claims in response to this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The inclusion of the "-" between the term "composition" and the term "of" is confusing and improper. Removal is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,372,262.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compositions of matter of claims 1-9 contain the complex of claim 7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (703) 308-3073. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-Th and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

dean C. Witz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1651